EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0593-LII-E **TCEQ ID:** RN103367991 **CASE NO.:** 33201

RESPONDENT NAME: Joe Sotelo

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING	
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	X OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL	
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Landscape irrigated SMALL BUSINESS: Yes X OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: A compermits for unlicensed contractors. There is sufficiently in the second secon	omplaint was received on July 3, 2006, alleging that no record of additional pending enforcement actions was received but the complainant has not expressed gister comment period expired on August 6, 2007.	Joe Sotelo had applied for sprinkler system regarding this facility location. the desire to protest this action or speak at No comments were received.

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$475 **Corrective Actions Taken:** X Complaint ___ Routine The Executive Director recognizes that on **Total Deferred: \$95** ___ Enforcement Follow-up April 12, 2007, Mr. Sotelo submitted an X Expedited Settlement Records Review affidavit stating that he would no longer apply for permits for systems to be __Financial Inability to Pay installed by unlicensed individuals. Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: July 3, 2006 SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 Date of Record Review Relating to this **Total Paid to General Revenue: \$380** Case: March 27, 2007 Site Compliance History Classification Date of NOE Relating to this Case: <u>High</u> Average Poor April 2, 2007 (NOE) **Person Compliance History Classification** Background Facts: This was a complaint __ High __ Average __ Poor investigation. Major Source: Yes X No WATER Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 Failure to comply with local requirements, ordinances, and regulations. Specifically, the investigator documented that the Respondent applied for and received two

sprinkler system permits from the City of McAllen with his license on behalf of an unlicensed contractor to install two irrigation systems [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 344.70 and Tex. OCCUPATIONS CODE

§ 1903.251].

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision March 19, 2007 2-Apr-2007 Assigned PCW 16-May-2007 Screening 11-Apr-2007 EPA Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Joe Sotelo Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN103367991 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 15-Harlingen CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 33201 No. of Violations 1 Docket No. 2007-0593-LII-E Order Type 1660 Enf. Coordinator Thomas Barnett Media Program(s) Irrigators EC's Team EnforcementTeam 2 Multi-Media \$2,500 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum **Penalty Calculation Section** \$500 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage \$25 Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Compliance History 5% Enhancement The enhancement is based on one Notice of Violation for the same or Notes similar violations as in the current enforcement action. \$0 Subtotal 4 0% Enhancement Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria \$50 Subtotal 5 Good Faith Effort to Comply 10% Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary Ordinar (mark with x) N/A The Respondent achieved compliance on April 12, 2007. Notes Subtotal 6 \$0 0% Enhancement* *Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Total EB Amounts \$0 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$100 \$475 **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30% Notes \$475 Final Penalty Amount Final Assessed Penalty \$475 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT -\$95 20% Reduction Adjustment Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$380

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 11-Apr-2007

Docket No. 2007-0593-LII-E

PCW

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision March 19, 2007

Respondent Joe Sotelo

Case ID No. 33201
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103367991
Media [Statute] Irrigators

	[Statute] Irrigators	Control of		•
Lill, God				
pliance History				
		nter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action ($number\ of\ NOVs\ meeting\ criteria$)	1	5%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders		0	0%	
Judgments			0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0)	0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions		0	0%	
Audite	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
Audita	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0.75	0%	
	Plea	se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	A divergent E	Paraantaga (Si	ubtotal 2)	5%
		ercentage (Su	ibiolai Z) [370
at Violator (Su	btotal 3)			
N/A	Adjustment F	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 3) 🛚	0%
nliance History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
N/A	Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	btotal 7)	0%
pliance History	Summary			
Compliance History Notes	The enhancement is based on one Notice of Violation for the same or similar violations as enforcement action.	in the current		
	Total Adjustment Percentage	/O1-4-4-1- 0		5%
	Piliance History Component NOVs Orders Judgments and Consent Decrees Convictions Emissions Audits Other Other NIA piliance History Compliance History Compliance History	Compliance History Worksheet Ditance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Component Number of NOVs	Enf. Coordinator Thomas Barnett Compliance History Worksheet Diance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Component Number of Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria) Other written NOVs Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting oriteria) Orders Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting oriteria) Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees emeting oriteria) Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting oriteria) Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Emissions Emissions Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Disclosures of violations of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Please Enter Yes or No Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Environmental management systems in place for one year or more Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program Environmental requirements Adjust	Enter Number of Multipse of Multipse of Multipse of Multipse of Countries State or the federal government (number of Interior Int

Screening Date		Dod	ket No. 2007-0593-LI	I-E	PCW
Respondent					rision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW	Revision March 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media [Statute]					
Enf. Coordinator					
Violation Number	1				and the second s
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admi	n. Code § 344.70 and	Tex. Occupations Code	§ 1903.251	***************************************
·					
Violation Description	regulations. Specific and received two spi	ally, the investigator drinkler system permits	with local requirements, ocumented that the Res from the City of McAllen or to install two irrigation	pondent applied for with his license on	
				Base Penalty	\$2,500
>> Environmental, Property a	nd Human Health	n Matrix			
Release	Har Major Mode				
OR Actual Potential			Percent	0%	andersteers

>>Programmatic Matrix	Major Mode	rate Minor			
Falsification	Major Mode	rate Millor	Percent	10%	·

Matrix Notes	100%	of the rule requiremen	nt was not met.	MENTER CONTROL OF STATE	
			Adjustment	\$2,250	ensierendoor
			•		\$250
				L	φ230
Violation Events					4
Number of Vio	olation Events 2		15 Number of vi	olation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event x		Vio	lation Base Penalty[\$500
	Two single ev	ents (one for each per	mit) are recommended.		
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation		Statutory	Limit Test	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$0	Violation	Final Penalty Total	\$475
	·	This violation Fi	nal Assessed Penalty	(adjusted for limite)	\$475
		This violation Fi	nai Assesseu Fendity	(aujusteu foi mints)	ΨΉΙΟ

	E	conomic B	Benefit W	orks	heet		
Respondent	Joe Sotelo				:,	4 4	
Case ID No.	33201					State of the state of the	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN103367991					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Media	Irrigators					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1					r croent interest	Depreciation
						5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
item bescription	NO COMMINAS OF S						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment		ir i		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bulldings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	27-Mar-2007	12-Apr-2007	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	0.000			0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	. \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		•				one-time avoided (\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs		There	are no avolded o	osts ass	sociated with this v	/iolation.	
	L						

 $(\nabla \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{u}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}, \mathbf{u}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}) = (\nabla \mathbf{i}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}, \mathbf{u}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}) + (\nabla \mathbf{i}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}, \mathbf{u}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}, \mathbf{u}_{i_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(k)}) = 0$

Compliance History

Rating: Site Rating:

Custome	r/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN601888472	SOTEL	_O, JOE		Classification:	Rating:
Regulate	d Entity:	RN103367991	SOTE	LO, JOE		Classification:	Site Ratir
ID Numb	er(s):	LANDSCAPE IRI BACKFLOW PRI TESTER LICENS	EVENTIO		LICENSE LICENSE		LI0001534 BP0006697
Location:		1417 UPAS AVE	., MCALL	.EN, TEXAS			
TCEQ Re	egion:	REGION 15 - HA	RLINGEN	J			
Date Cor	npliance History Prepared:	April 18, 2007					
Agency [Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement					
Complian	nce Period:	April 18, 2002 to	April 18, 2	2007			
TCEQ St	aff Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding t	this Comp	oliance History			
Name:	Anita Keese	Ph	ione:	(956) 430-6034			
		Sito C	ompliar	nce History Comp	onents		
1 Lloo th	e site been in existence and/or operation		-		Yes		
	ere been a (known) change in ownership				No		
	who is the current owner?	or the site during t	io compile	and pondu	N/A		
4. if Yes	, who was/were the prior owner(s)?				N/A		
5. When	did the change(s) in ownership occur?				N/A		
	nents (Multimedia) for the Site :				<u>-111-1-1-1-1</u>		
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judger	ments, and consent	decrees	of the state of Texas	and the federal	government.	
Α.	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	N/A				•		
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of	Texas and the fede	eral gover	nment.			
	N/A						
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events.						
	N/A						
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track.	No.)				
	N/A						
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (C	CEDS Inv. Track. N	lo.)				
	Date: 02/20/2007 (51406	57)				•	
	Self Report? NO			Classit	fication: Mod	derate	•
	Description: Failure by a inspection re	equirements, ordinated of which relates to	comply vances or re	.70 with local regulations of egulations of the egulations designed to the performance or to be performance.	o protect the pu	ıblic water	
F.	Environmental audits.						
	N/A						
G.	Type of environmental management s	ystems (ÈMSs).					
	N/A						
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessm	nent dates.					
	N/A						
l.	Participation in a voluntary pollution re	eduction program.					
	N/A						
J.	Early compliance.						
	N/A						

Sites Outside of Texas

n de la proposición de la companya de la companya

ing the second of the second o

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	•
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
JOE SOTELO	§	
RN103367991	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-0593-LII-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Joe Sotelo ("Mr. Sotelo") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 37 and TEX. OCCUPATIONS CODE ch. 1903. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Mr. Sotelo appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Mr. Sotelo owns and operates a landscape irrigation business at 1417 Upas Avenue, McAllen, Hidalgo County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. TCEQ has general authority to regulate the design and installation of landscape irrigation systems, and the licensing of landscape irrigators and installers, pursuant to Tex. Occupations Code ch. 1903.
- 3. The Commission and Mr. Sotelo agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Mr. Sotelo is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Mr. Sotelo received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about April 7, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Mr. Sotelo of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$475) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Mr. Sotelo has paid Three Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$380) of the administrative penalty and Ninety-

	•			
		·		

Five Dollars (\$95) is deferred contingent upon Mr. Sotelo's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Mr. Sotelo fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Mr. Sotelo to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Mr. Sotelo have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that on April 12, 2007, Mr. Sotelo submitted an affidavit stating that he would no longer apply for permits for systems to be installed by unlicensed individuals.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Mr. Sotelo has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Site, Mr. Sotelo is alleged to have failed to comply with local requirements, ordinances, and regulations, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 344.70 and Tex. Occupations Code § 1903.251, as documented during a record review conducted on March 27, 2007. Specifically, the investigator documented that Mr. Sotelo applied for and received two sprinkler system permits from the City of McAllen with his license on behalf of an unlicensed contractor to install two irrigation systems.

III. DENIALS

Mr. Sotelo generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

and the second of the second o

n de la composition La composition de la

and the state of t

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

•

Joe Sotelo DOCKET NO. 2007-0593-LII-E Page 3

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Mr. Sotelo pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. Sotelo's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Joe Sotelo, Docket No. 2007-0593-LII-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. Sotelo.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mr. Sotelo in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mr. Sotelo, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mr. Sotelo, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Joe Sotelo DOCKET NO, 2007-0593-LII-E Page 4

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

For the Executive Director

8/23/2007 Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional
 penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEO seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Date

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized Representative of

Joe Sotelo

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paraglaph 1 of this Agreed Order,

RECEIVED
JUN 06 2007
TCEQ-Region 15

¥